

## MATH 3023 – Prof. Philip Pennance – Some truth tables

In the calculus of propositions (i.e. logic without quantifiers), if  $p, q$  are propositions, then so are the *compound* statements:

1.  $p \vee q$  (*disjunction*).
2.  $p \wedge q$  (*conjunction*).
3.  $p \rightarrow q$  (*implication*).
4.  $p \leftrightarrow q$  (*equivalence*).

The "truth" of these statements is determined by the truth of  $p$  and  $q$  according to the following tables known as *truth tables* in which 1 denotes *true* and 0 denotes *false*.

### 1. Disjunction

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$
1	1	1
1	0	1
0	1	1
0	0	0

The disjunction  $p \vee q$  is false if both  $p$  and  $q$  are false, and true otherwise. If  $p$  is the statement "I teach mathematics" and  $q$  is the statement "I teach physics" then  $p \vee q$  is the statement "Either I teach mathematics or I teach physics". Since disjunction of  $p$  and  $q$  is true when both  $p$  and  $q$  are true  $\vee$  is sometimes called the *inclusive or*.

### 2. Conjunction

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$
1	1	1
1	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	0

The conjunction  $p \wedge q$  is true if both  $p$  and  $q$  are true and false otherwise. If  $p$  is the the statement "4 is positive" and  $q$  is the statement "4 is less than 5", then  $p \wedge q$  is "4 is positive and less than 5".

### 3. Implication

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
1	1	1
1	0	0
0	1	1
0	0	1

The statement " $p$  implies  $q$ " is *false* if  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false and true otherwise.

**Important:** The truth of a statement such as

"If there is fire then there must be presence oxygen"

or the falsehood of

"presence of oxygen implies fire"

can **NOT** be determined solely from the the above table. This point will be discussed in the lectures.

### 4. Equivalence

$p$	$q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
1	1	1
1	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	1

The *equivalence*  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is true if  $p$  and  $q$  have the same truth values and false otherwise. Linguistically  $\leftrightarrow$  corresponds to the phrase "if and only if".